

General Assembly

Raised Bill No.

550

1 of 2

February Session, 2006

LCO No. 2559



Referred to Committee on

JUDICIARY

Introduced by: (JUD)

5

AN ACT CONCERNING ADEQUATE NOTICE IN DRAM SHOP ACTIONS.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Assembly convened:

- Section 1. Section 30-102 of the general statutes is repealed and the
- 2 following is substituted in lieu thereof (Effective October 1, 2006, and
- 3 applicable to causes of action arising on or after said date):

4 If any person, by such person or such person's agent, sells any

alcoholic liquor to an intoxicated person, and such purchaser, in

6 consequence of such intoxication, thereafter injures the person or

7 property of another, such seller shall pay just damages to the person

8 injured, up to the amount of two hundred fifty thousand dollars, or to

9 persons injured in consequence of such intoxication up to an aggregate

amount of two hundred fifty thousand dollars, to be recovered in an

11 action under this section, provided the aggrieved person or persons

12 shall give written notice to such seller within [sixty] one hundred

13 twenty days of the occurrence of such injury to person or property of

14 such person's or persons' intention to bring an action under this

15 section. [In computing such sixty-day period, the time between the

death or incapacity of any aggrieved person and the appointment of an

LCO No. 2559

CONNECTICUT STATE LIBRARY LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE SECTION



17 executor, administrator, conservator or guardian of such person's 18 estate shall be excluded, except that the time so excluded shall not exceed one hundred twenty days.] Such notice shall specify the time, 19 20 the date and the person to whom such sale was made, the name and 21 address of the person injured or whose property was damaged, and 22 the time, date and place where the injury to person or property occurred. No action under the provisions of this section shall be 23 24 brought but within one year from the date of the act or omission 25 complained of. Such injured person shall have no cause of action 26 against such seller for negligence in the sale of alcoholic liquor to a 27 person twenty-one years of age or older.

This act shall take effect as follows and shall amend the following sections:				
Section 1	October 1, 2006, and applicable to causes of action arising on or after said date	30-102		

Statement of Purpose:

To extend the notice period in dram shop actions.

[Proposed deletions are enclosed in brackets. Proposed additions are indicated by underline, except that when the entire text of a bill or resolution or a section of a bill or resolution is new, it is not underlined.]

LCO No. 2559 2 of 2



NHEOTEUF STATE LIBERAL COMPLECTICUT STATE METATIVE REFERENCE CO. File No. 479

February Session, 2006

Senate Bill No. 550

Senate, April 11, 2006

Committee on Judiciary reported through SEN. MCDONALD of the 27th Dist., Chairperson of the Committee on the part of the Senate, that the bill ought to pass.

AN ACT CONCERNING ADEQUATE NOTICE IN DRAM SHOP ACTIONS.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Assembly convened:

- 1 Section 1. Section 30-102 of the general statutes is repealed and the 2 following is substituted in lieu thereof (Effective October 1, 2006, and 3 applicable to causes of action arising on or after said date):
- 4 If any person, by such person or such person's agent, sells any 5 alcoholic liquor to an intoxicated person, and such purchaser, in 6 consequence of such intoxication, thereafter injures the person or 7 property of another, such seller shall pay just damages to the person 8 injured, up to the amount of two hundred fifty thousand dollars, or to 9 persons injured in consequence of such intoxication up to an aggregate amount of two hundred fifty thousand dollars, to be recovered in an 10 11 action under this section, provided the aggrieved person or persons 12 shall give written notice to such seller within [sixty] one hundred 13 twenty days of the occurrence of such injury to person or property of 14 such person's or persons' intention to bring an action under this

SB550 / File No. 479

SB550 File No. 479

section. [In computing such sixty-day period, the time between the death or incapacity of any aggrieved person and the appointment of an executor, administrator, conservator or guardian of such person's estate shall be excluded, except that the time so excluded shall not exceed one hundred twenty days.] Such notice shall specify the time, the date and the person to whom such sale was made, the name and address of the person injured or whose property was damaged, and the time, date and place where the injury to person or property occurred. No action under the provisions of this section shall be brought but within one year from the date of the act or omission complained of. Such injured person shall have no cause of action against such seller for negligence in the sale of alcoholic liquor to a person twenty-one years of age or older.

This act shall take effect as follows and shall amend the following sections:					
Section 1	October 1, 2006, and applicable to causes of action arising on or after said date	30-102			

JUD Joint Favorable

The following fiscal impact statement and bill analysis are prepared for the benefit of members of the General Assembly, solely for the purpose of information, summarization, and explanation, and do not represent the intent of the General Assembly or either House thereof for any purpose:

OFA Fiscal Note

State Impact:

Agency Affected	Fund-Effect	FY 07 \$	FY 08 \$
Social Services, Dept.	GF - Savings	Potential	Potential
Note: GF=General Fund			•

Municipal Impact: None

Explanation

The bill increases the period of time that an injured party has to determine if there is a legitimate cause to bring a civil action under the Dram Shop Act, which makes any person liable who sells liquor to an intoxicated person who subsequently injures the person or property of another. To the extent that this provision shifts the cost of medical care for injured persons from the state to liable third parties via civil actions brought under the Dram Shop Act, a potential cost savings could occur which is anticipated to be infrequent.

The Out Years

State Impact:

Agency Affected	Fund-Effect	FY 09 \$	FY 10 \$	FY 11 \$
Social Services,	GF - Savings	Potential	Potential	Potential
Dept.				_

Note: GF=General Fund

Municipal Impact: None

CONNECTICUT
STATE ABRARY
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE
SECTION

OLR Bill Analysis SB 550

AN ACT CONCERNING ADEQUATE NOTICE IN DRAM SHOP

SUMMARY:

The Dram Shop Act makes a liquor seller liable if he or his employee sells liquor to an already-intoxicated person who injures a person or property. In most instances, this bill increases the amount of time an injured party has to notify the seller of an incident and his intention to sue for damages from 60 to 120 days.

But it eliminates a provision that can allow more time if the injured party dies or is incapacitated. It does so by eliminating a provision that allows up to 120 days between the person's death or incapacity and the appointment of an executor, administrator, conservator, or guardian to be excluded from the 60-day deadline.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 1, 2006, and applicable to causes of action beginning on that date.

BACKGROUND

Dram Shop Act

The Dram Shop Act does not require proof that the seller acted negligently. The maximum amount an injured person can recover is \$250,000 for injuries to a single person or more than one person. The actual amount of liability in a particular case is determined in court.

COMMITTEE ACTION

Judiciary Committee

Joint Favorable Yea 38 Nay 0 (03/27/2006)



General Assembly

(SENATE) Amendment

February Session, 2006

LCO No. 4093



Offered by:

SEN. MCKINNEY, 28th Dist.

To: Senate Bill No. 550

File No. 479

Cal. No. 343

"AN ACT CONCERNING ADEQUATE NOTICE IN DRAM SHOP ACTIONS."

- After the last section, add the following and renumber sections and internal references accordingly:
- "Sec. 501. (NEW) (*Effective October 1, 2006*) (a) For purposes of this section, "alcohol vaporization device" means a device, machine or process which mixes spirits, alcoholic liquors or any product containing alcoholic liquor with oxygen or any other gas to produce a vaporized product for consumption by humans by inhalation.
- 8 (b) No person shall sell, purchase or possess an alcohol vaporization 9 device. No person shall permit such a device on premises licensed for 10 the sale of alcoholic liquor.
- 11 (c) Any person who violates subsection (b) of this section shall be 12 fined not more than one thousand dollars or imprisoned not more than 13 six months, or both."

SENATE AMENDMENT

Calendar:

ADOPTED voice C REJECTED voice C ADOPTED roll C REJECTED roll C

;; ;;



General Assembly

[SENATE] Amendment

February Session, 2006

LCO No. 4098



Offered by:

SEN. RORABACK, 30th Dist.

To: Senate Bill No. 550

File No. 479

Cal. No. 343

"AN ACT CONCERNING ADEQUATE NOTICE IN DRAM SHOP ACTIONS."

1 After the last section, add the following and renumber sections and

2 internal references accordingly:

3 "Sec. 501. Subsection (a) of section 14-227a of the 2006 supplement to

the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu

5 thereof (Effective October 1, 2006):

6 (a) No person shall operate a motor vehicle while under the

influence of intoxicating liquor or any drug or both. A person commits

the offense of operating a motor vehicle while under the influence of

9 intoxicating liquor or any drug or both if such person operates a motor

vehicle [on a public highway of this state or on any road of a district

11 organized under the provisions of chapter 105, a purpose of which is

12 the construction and maintenance of roads and sidewalks, or on any

private road on which a speed limit has been established in accordance

14 with the provisions of section 14-218a, or in any parking area for ten or

more cars or on any school property] (1) while under the influence of

4

7

8

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

intoxicating liquor or any drug or both, or (2) while such person has an elevated blood alcohol content. For the purposes of this section, "elevated blood alcohol content" means a ratio of alcohol in the blood of such person that is eight-hundredths of one per cent or more of alcohol, by weight, and "motor vehicle" includes a snowmobile and all-terrain vehicle, as those terms are defined in section 14-379.

Sec. 502. Section 14-386a of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective October 1, 2006*):

No person shall operate a snowmobile or all-terrain vehicle in the following manner: (1) At an unreasonable or imprudent rate of speed for existing conditions; (2) in a negligent manner so as to endanger any person or property; or (3) while under the influence of intoxicating liquor or any drug, [as defined by] or both, as provided in subsection (a) of section 14-227a, as amended by this act. Any person who violates [any provision] the provisions of subdivision (1) or (2) of this section, or any regulation relating [hereto] thereto, shall be fined not more than two hundred [and] fifty dollars for each offense. Any person who violates the provisions of subdivision (3) of this section shall be subject to the penalties set forth in section 14-227a, as amended by this act. In addition thereto, the operator or owner, or both, of a snowmobile or all-terrain vehicle, shall be responsible and held accountable to the owner of any land where trees, shrubs, crops, fences or other property have been damaged as a result of travel of such snowmobiles or allterrain vehicles over such land, or where consequential damage has resulted from such travel. Proof of the registration number of the snowmobile or all-terrain vehicle shall be prima facie evidence in any prosecution or action for damages that the owner was the operator."

SENATE ANENDMENT

Calendar: _

ADOPTED voice CI REJECTED voice CI ADOPTED roll CI REJECTED roll CI

REPORT ON BILLS FAVORABLY REPORTED BY COMMITTEE

COMMITTEE: Judiciary Committee

File No.:

Bill No.: SB-550

PH Date: 3/24/2006

Action/Date: JF 3/27/06

Reference Change:

TITLE OF BILL:

AN ACT CONCERNING ADEQUATE NOTICE IN DRAM SHOP ACTIONS.

SPONSORS OF BILL:

Connecticut Trial Lawyers Association (CTLA)

REASONS FOR BILL:

Expansion of the time period for giving notice is necessary to provide injured parties enough time to conduct an investigation and determine if there is a legitimate cause of action under the Dram Shop Act.

RESPONSE FROM ADMINISTRATION/AGENCY:

Nothing submitted

NATURE AND SOURCES OF SUPPORT:

Andrew Groher, CTLA - This bill would expand the notice provisions of the Dram Shop Act to from 60 to 120 days.

As the law currently stands, persons injured by a drunk driver only have 60 days, from the date of injury, to determine if the negligent driver was drunk and to find out where that person had been drinking. This has become an almost impossible task to accomplish within such a short time span. Absent a confession from the drunk driver, the most likely source for this information is the police investigation report regarding the accident. In many of our cities and towns, it can take more than 60 days just to get the police report. The same is true for the State Police which seems to have an even longer turn around time for its reports. I am currently representing two estates where the injuries and death occurred before the first of the year and we still do not have the results of the State Police investigations. More



importantly, the police are very unwilling to share any information regarding their investigations before the report is finalized. All of this puts injured parties at a significant disadvantage with regard to potential dram shop actions

I currently represent a young man who was seriously injured last summer when a drunk driver crossed over the center line and struck him head on. Although the police arrested the defendant for driving while under the influence, they never asked her where she had been drinking. The drunk driver was unwilling to tell us where she had been drinking and we were not able to finally determine that she had been drinking much of the night at one particular bar until the time for giving notice had already expired. This particular young man did not have health insurance at the time of this crash and there is not enough liability insurance on the vehicle that hit him. As a consequence, he will not be adequately compensated for his injuries and the state is not going to get back the money it paid for his medical care. This is not an unusual occurrence under the current provisions of this act. This is an ongoing problem that our members and their clients face on a day in, day out basis.

Maureen O'Hara, Victim of Drunk Driving - I was involved in a motor vehicle collision that occurred on December 23, 2005 in Milford. That motorist admitted that he had consumed six beers earlier in the evening but he would not tell the officer where he had been drinking. My attorney hired an investigator to obtain information about the responsible driver's activities before the collision. Unfortunately, he was stymied in his efforts. The defendant refused to talk with him. Although the defendant told his insurance carrier that he had been drinking at a club, he refused to divulge the name of the club.

Despite diligent efforts, I was therefore unable, within the 60-day time constraint of the present Dram Shop Act, to develop sufficient information to bring a claim. This is unfair and not sound public policy as it allows sellers of alcoholic beverages to intoxicated persons to escape responsibility for their actions.

This bill would allow people like me who are injured as a result of an intoxicated motorist a more reasonable amount of time to determine whether the Dram Shop Act applies and to whom notice should be issued.

NATURE AND SOURCES OF OPPOSITION:

Nothing submitted

Diana Caliendo Sarah Kolb	3/30/06
Reported by	Date

JUDICIARY COMMITTEE **VOTE TALLY SHEET**

Bill No.:

SB-550

Amendment Letter:

AN ACT CONCERNING ADEQUATE NOTICE IN DRAM SHOP ACTIONS.

Chair: MCDONALD, A.

Motion:

DYSON, W.

Second: MCMAHON, F.

Action: Joint Favorable

Language Change:

TOTALS	Voting	Yea	Nay	Abstain	Absent and Not Voting	Voice Vote
IOIALS	38	38	0	0	3	

	yea	nay	abstain	absen
Sen. McDonald, A. S27	X			
Rep. Lawlor, M. 099	X			
Sen. Handley, M. S04	X			
Rep. Spallone, J. 036	X			
Sen. Kissel, J. S07	X			
Rep. Farr, R. 019	X.			
Rep. Barry, R. 012	X		1	
Rep. Berger, J. 073	X			
Rep. Cafero, L. 142	X		1	
Rep. Candelaria, J. 095	X		77	
Sen. Cappiello, D. S24	X			
Sen. Coleman, E. S02	X			
Rep. Dillon, P. 092	X			
Rep. Doyle, P. 028	X			
Rep. Dyson, W. 094	X			
Rep. Fox, G. 146	X			
Rep. Fritz, M. 090				X
Rep. Geragosian, J. 025	X			
Rep. Giegler, J. 138	X		T	
Rep. Godfrey, B. 110	X			
Sen. Gomes, E. S23	X			
Rep. Gonzalez, M. 003				X
Rep. Green, K. 001				X
Rep. Hamm, G. 034	X			
Rep. Hamzy , W. 078	X	L .		
Rep. Hovey, D. 112	X			
Rep. Klarides, T. 114	X			
Rep. Labriola, D. 131	X			

	yea	nay	abstain	absent
Rep. McMahon, F. 015	X			
Sen. Meyer, E. S12	X			
Rep. Michele, R. 077	Х			
Rep. Olson , M. 046	Х			
Rep. O'Neill , A. 069	X			
Rep. Powers, C. 151	X			
Sen. Roraback, A. S30	X			
Rep. Rowe , T. 123	X			
Rep. Serra , J. 033	X			
Rep. Staples, C. 096	X			
Rep. Stone , C. 009	X			
Rep. Walker, T. 093	Х			
Rep. Winkler, L. 041	X			

Vote date: 3/27/2006 4:30:00 PM

Correction date:

EDINECTICAL STATE FRANKA LAW/LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE UNIT