

General Assembly

Raised Bill No.

569

February Session, 2006

LCO No. 2689



Referred to Committee on **ENERGY & TECHNOLOGY** Introduced by:

(ET)

# AN ACT CONCERNING REVISIONS TO THE UTILITY STATUTES IN RESPONSE TO THE 2005 FEDERAL ENERGY ACT.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Assembly convened:

- 1 Section 1. Section 16-22 of the general statutes is repealed and the
- 2 following is substituted in lieu thereof (Effective October 1, 2006):
- 3 At any hearing involving a rate or the transfer of ownership of
- 4 assets or a franchise of a public service company, or the formation or
- 5 change in control of a holding company, as defined in section 16-47, as
- 6 amended by this act, that involves a public service company within
- 7 this state, the burden of proving that [said] the rate under
- 8 consideration is just and reasonable or that [said] the transfer of assets
- 9 or franchise or that the change in control or formation of a holding
- 10 company is just and reasonable and is in the public interest shall be on
- 11 the public service company or the applicant company. The provisions
- 12 of this section shall not apply to the regulation of a
- 13 telecommunications service which is a competitive service, as defined
- 14 in section 16-247a.
- 15 Sec. 2. Subsection (d) of section 16-47 of the general statutes is

CONNECTICUT STATE LIBRARY LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE SECTION repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective October 1, 2006*):

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27 28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37 38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 49

(d) The Department of Public Utility Control shall investigate and hold a public hearing on the question of granting its approval with respect to any application made under subsection (b) or (c) of this section and thereafter may approve or disapprove any such application in whole or in part and upon such terms and conditions as it deems necessary or appropriate, including, but not limited to, orders that effectuate a rate reduction or sharing of merger-related savings between shareholders and ratepayers. In connection with its investigation, the department may request the views of the gas, electric, electric distribution, water, telephone or community antenna television company or holding company which is the subject of the application with respect to the proposed acquisition. After the filing of an application satisfying the requirements of such regulations as the department may adopt in accordance with the provisions of chapter 54, but not later than thirty business days after the filing of such application, the department shall give prompt notice of the public hearing to the person required to file the application and to the subject company or holding company. Such hearing shall be commenced as promptly as practicable after the filing of the application, but not later than thirty business days after the filing, and the department shall make its determination as soon as practicable, but not later than one hundred twenty days after the filing of the application unless the person required to file the application agrees to an extension of time. The department may, in its discretion, grant the subject company or holding company the opportunity to participate in the hearing by presenting evidence and oral and written argument. If the department fails to give notice of its determination to hold a hearing, commence the hearing, or render its determination after the hearing within the time limits specified in this subdivision, the proposed acquisition shall be deemed approved. In each proceeding on a written application submitted under [said] subsection (b) or (c) of this section, the department shall, in a manner which treats all parties to the

LCO No. 2689 2 of 4

- 57 approved, [and] (3) for an application concerning a telephone 58 company, the effect of approval on the location and accessibility of
- management and operations and on the proportion and number of state resident employees, and (4) the benefits to ratepayers and the citizens of the state. Such benefits may include, but shall not be limited
- 62 to, rate reductions or the sharing of proposed merger savings with
- 63 ratepayers.

50 51

52

53

54

55

56

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

- Sec. 3. Subsection (b) of section 16-6a of the 2006 supplement to the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective October 1, 2006*):
  - (b) For any proceeding before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, the United States Department of Energy or the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission, or appeal thereof, the Attorney General, upon request of the department, may retain outside legal counsel in accordance with section 3-125 and may retain nonlegal consultants to assist the department staff in the proceedings to participate in such proceedings on behalf of the department. All reasonable and proper expenses of such outside legal counsel shall be borne by the public service companies, certified telecommunications providers, electric suppliers or gas registrants that are affected by the decisions of such proceedings and shall be paid at such times and in such manner as the department directs, provided such expenses shall be apportioned in proportion to the revenues of each affected entity as reported to the department for purposes of section 16-49 for the most recent period, and provided further such expenses shall not exceed two hundred fifty thousand dollars per proceeding, including any

3 of 4

appeals thereof, in any calendar year unless the department finds good cause for exceeding the limit and the affected entities have an opportunity, after reasonable notice, to comment on the proposed overage. All such legal expenses shall be recognized by the department as proper business expenses of the affected entities for rate-making purposes, as provided in section 16-19e, if applicable.

Sec. 4. Sections 16-243a, 16-243b, 16-243c, 16-243d, 16-243e, 16-243f and 16-243g of the general statutes are repealed. (*Effective October 1*, 2006)

This act shall take effect as follows and shall amend the following sections:				
Section 1	October 1, 2006	16-22		
Sec. 2	October 1, 2006	16-47(d)		
Sec. 3	October 1, 2006	16-6a(b)		
Sec. 4	October 1, 2006	Repealer section		

# Statement of Purpose:

83

84

85

86 87

88

89

90

91

To make certain changes to the public utility statutes in response to recent federal energy legislation.

[Proposed deletions are enclosed in brackets. Proposed additions are indicated by underline, except that when the entire text of a bill or resolution or a section of a bill or resolution is new, it is not underlined.]

LCO No. 2689

....

4 of 4



# Senate CONNECTICUT STATE LIBRARY LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE SECTION

General Assembly

File No. 164

February Session, 2006

Substitute Senate Bill No. 569

Senate, March 28, 2006

The Committee on Energy and Technology reported through SEN. FONFARA of the 1st Dist., Chairperson of the Committee on the part of the Senate, that the substitute bill ought to pass.

# AN ACT CONCERNING REVISIONS TO THE UTILITY STATUTES IN RESPONSE TO THE 2005 FEDERAL ENERGY ACT.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Assembly convened:

- Section 1. Section 16-22 of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective October 1, 2006*):
- 3 At any hearing involving a rate or the transfer of ownership of
- 4 assets or a franchise of a public service company, or the formation or
- 5 change in control of a holding company, as defined in section 16-47, as
- 6 amended by this act, that involves a public service company within 7 this state, the burden of proving that [said] the rate under
- 8 consideration is just and reasonable or that [said] the transfer of assets
- 9 or franchise or that the change in control or formation of a holding
- 10 company is just and reasonable and is in the public interest shall be on
- the public service company or the applicant company. The provisions
- 12 of this section shall not apply to the regulation of a
- 13 telecommunications service which is a competitive service, as defined

sSB569 File No. 164

14 in section 16-247a.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

Sec. 2. Subsection (d) of section 16-47 of the general statutes is repealed and the following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective* 70 October 1, 2006):

(d) The Department of Public Utility Control shall investigate and hold a public hearing on the question of granting its approval with respect to any application made under subsection (b) or (c) of this section and thereafter may approve or disapprove any such application in whole or in part and upon such terms and conditions as it deems necessary or appropriate, including, but not limited to, orders that effectuate a rate reduction or sharing of merger-related savings between shareholders and ratepayers. In connection with its investigation, the department may request the views of the gas, electric, electric distribution, water, telephone or community antenna television company or holding company which is the subject of the application with respect to the proposed acquisition. After the filing of an application satisfying the requirements of such regulations as the department may adopt in accordance with the provisions of chapter 54, but not later than thirty business days after the filing of such application, the department shall give prompt notice of the public hearing to the person required to file the application and to the subject company or holding company. Such hearing shall be commenced as promptly as practicable after the filing of the application, but not later than thirty business days after the filing, and the department shall make its determination as soon as practicable, but not later than one hundred twenty days after the filing of the application unless the person required to file the application agrees to an extension of time. The department may, in its discretion, grant the subject company or holding company the opportunity to participate in the hearing by presenting evidence and oral and written argument. If the department fails to give notice of its determination to hold a hearing, commence the hearing, or render its determination after the hearing within the time limits specified in this subdivision, the proposed acquisition shall be deemed approved. In each proceeding on a written application

s\$B569 File No. 164

48 submitted under [said] subsection (b) or (c) of this section, the 49 department shall, in a manner which treats all parties to the 50 proceeding on an equal basis, take into consideration (1) the financial, 51 technological and managerial suitability and responsibility of the 52 applicant, (2) the ability of the gas, electric, electric distribution, water, 53 telephone or community antenna television company or holding 54 company which is the subject of the application to provide safe, 55 adequate and reliable service to the public through the company's 56 plant, equipment and manner of operation if the application were to be 57 approved, [and] (3) for an application concerning a telephone 58 company, the effect of approval on the location and accessibility of 59 management and operations and on the proportion and number of 60 state resident employees, and (4) the benefits to ratepayers and the 61 citizens of the state. Such benefits may include, but shall not be limited 62 to, rate reductions or the sharing of proposed merger savings with 63 ratepayers.

Sec. 3. Section 16-18a of the general statutes is amended by adding subsection (d) as follows (*Effective October 1, 2006*):

(NEW) (d) For any proceeding before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, the United States Department of Energy, the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, the Federal Trade Commission, United States Department of Justice or Communications Commission, the department may retain consultants to assist its staff in such proceedings by providing expertise in areas in which staff expertise does not currently exist or when necessary to supplement staff expertise. All reasonable and proper expenses of such expert consultants shall be borne by the public service companies, certified telecommunications providers, electric suppliers or gas registrants that are affected by the decisions of such proceedings and shall be paid at such times and in such manner as the department directs, provided such expenses shall be apportioned in proportion to the revenues of each affected entity as reported to the department for purposes of section 16-49 for the most recent period, and provided

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

ç

further such expenses shall not exceed two hundred fifty thousand dollars per proceeding, including any appeals thereof, in any calendar year unless the department finds good cause for exceeding the limit and the affected entities have an opportunity, after reasonable notice, to comment on the proposal to exceed the limit. All such legal expenses shall be recognized by the department as proper business expenses of the affected entities for rate-making purposes, as provided in section 16-19e, if applicable.

This act shall take effect as follows and shall amend the following sections:					
Section 1	October 1, 2006	16-22			
Sec. 2	October 1, 2006	16-47(d)			
Sec. 3	October 1, 2006	16-18a			

ET Joint Favorable Subst.

sSB569

File No. 164

The following fiscal impact statement and bill analysis are prepared for the benefit of members of the General Assembly, solely for the purpose of information, summarization, and explanation, and do not represent the intent of the General Assembly or either House thereof for any purpose:

### **OFA Fiscal Note**

#### State Impact:

Agency Affected	Fund-Effect	FY 07 \$	FY 08 \$
All	Various - Cost	Potential	Potential
		Minimal	Minimal

# Municipal Impact:

Municipalities	Effect	FY 07 \$	FY 08 \$
All Municipalities	Cost	Potential	Potential
		Minimal	Minimal

## Explanation

The bill allows the Department of Public Utility Control (DPUC) to retain consultants to assist in proceedings before certain federal agencies and federal courts on certain matters. However, the bill limits such expenses to \$250,000 per calendar year, unless DPUC finds good cause for exceeding the limit. Under the bill, legal expenses must be recognized as business expenses for traditional ratemaking purposes. Therefore, utilities would be able to recover the legal expenses in rates. To the extent that such costs could be passed on to the state and municipalities as ratepayers, it would be minimal.

The bill makes other various changes to the standard for approving transfers of assets, none of which have a fiscal impact.

#### The Out Years

The annualized ongoing fiscal impact identified above would continue into the future subject to inflation.

CO. FILL HOUT
STATE LITRARY
LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE
SECTION

## OLR Bill Analysis sSB 569

# AN ACT CONCERNING REVISIONS TO THE UTILITY STATUTES IN RESPONSE TO THE 2005 FEDERAL ENERGY ACT.

## SUMMARY:

This bill allows the Department of Public Utility Control (DPUC) to retain consultants to help in proceedings before federal agencies and requires that the affected utility or other DPUC-regulated company bear the costs of the consultants.

Under the bill, when DPUC holds a hearing involving the formation or change of control of a utility holding company that involves a Connecticut utility, the applicant company or utility has the burden of proving that the action is just and reasonable and in the public interest. By law, a utility already has the burden of proving that (1) a proposed rate change is just and reasonable and (2) a transfer of ownership of assets or a utility's franchise is in the public interest. The bill (1) extends the just and reasonable standard to transfers of assets and franchises and (2) extends the burden of proof to the applicant company, if it is not a utility.

By law, DPUC can condition its approval on (1) one utility exercising control over another utility, (2) the formation of a utility holding company, and (3) a change in control of a utility holding company on terms DPUC considers necessary or appropriate. The bill specifically allows DPUC to condition such approvals on orders that require a rate reduction or sharing of merger-related savings between ratepayers and shareholders.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 1, 2006

#### **CONSULTANTS**

s\$B569 / File No. 164

6

sSR569

File No. 164

The bill allows DPUC to retain consultants to help its staff in proceedings before various federal agencies to provide expertise in areas where its staff lacks expertise or where the consultants are needed to supplement DPUC staff expertise. The agencies are the Federal Energy Regulatory, Nuclear Regulatory, Securities and Exchange, Federal Trade, and Federal Communications commissions, and the U.S. Department of Justice.

The reasonable and proper expenses of these expert consultants must be borne by the utilities, certified telecommunication providers, electric suppliers, or gas marketers affected by the proceedings. The expenses cannot exceed \$250,000 annually per proceeding, including appeals, unless DPUC finds good cause for exceeding this limit, after notifying the affected companies of its intent to exceed the limit and an opportunity to comment. The expenses must be allocated among the affected companies in proportion to each company's revenue, as reported to DPUC for purposes of its assessment (although gas marketers are not subject to this assessment). The expenses must be paid when and how DPUC directs.

The bill requires DPUC to recognize these "legal expenses" for rate-making purposes for those companies subject to rate regulation. It does not appear that this provision applies to expenses for consultants who are not attorneys.

#### **COMMITTEE ACTION**

**Energy and Technology Committee** 

Joint Favorable Substitute Yea 18 Nay 0 (03/14/2006)