



General Assembly

February Session, 2006

Senate Resolution No. 10

LCO No. 1678



Referred to Committee on

Introduced by: **APPROPRIATIONS**  
SEN. WILLIAMS, 29<sup>th</sup> Dist.

**RESOLUTION PROPOSING APPROVAL OF A STIPULATED AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE SERVICES AND THE PROTECTIVE SERVICES EMPLOYEES COALITION.**

Resolved by the Senate:

- 1 That the collective bargaining agreement between the Department
- 2 of Revenue Services and the Protective Services Employees Coalition,
- 3 IUPA/IAFF, AFL-CIO, concerning overtime payments for employees
- 4 above Salary Grade 16, submitted to this assembly June 30, 2005, for
- 5 approval, as provided in subsection (b) of section 5-278 of the general
- 6 statutes, is approved.

CONNECTICUT STATE LIBRARY

LCO No. 1678

LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE SECTION

1 of 1



## Senate

General Assembly

**File No. 11**

February Session, 2006

Senate Resolution No. 10

*Senate, March 8, 2006*

The Senate Committee on Appropriations reported through SEN. HARP of the 10th Dist., Chairperson of the Committee on the part of the Senate, that the resolution ought to be adopted.

**RESOLUTION PROPOSING APPROVAL OF A STIPULATED AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE SERVICES AND THE PROTECTIVE SERVICES EMPLOYEES COALITION.**

Resolved by the Senate:

- 1 That the collective bargaining agreement between the Department
- 2 of Revenue Services and the Protective Services Employees Coalition,
- 3 IUPA/IAFF, AFL-CIO, concerning overtime payments for employees
- 4 above Salary Grade 16, submitted to this assembly June 30, 2005, for
- 5 approval, as provided in subsection (b) of section 5-278 of the general
- 6 statutes, is approved.

**APP**      *Senate Favorable*

The following fiscal impact statement and bill analysis are prepared for the benefit of members of the General Assembly, solely for the purpose of information, summarization, and explanation, and do not represent the intent of the General Assembly or either House thereof for any purpose:

---

### **OFA Fiscal Note**

#### **State Impact:**

| <b>Agency Affected</b>         | <b>Fund-Effect</b> | <b>FY 06 \$</b> | <b>FY 07 \$</b> |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Department of Revenue Services | GF - Cost          | 36,000          | 36,000          |

Note: GF=General Fund

**Municipal Impact:** None

#### **Explanation**

The Department of Revenue Services (DRS) receives \$100,000 per year for tobacco brand enforcement activity per PA 04-218. The stipulated agreement allows for \$3,520 of those funds to be used for overtime payments to Tax Enforcement Special Agents per year. However, DRS anticipates the need for a total of \$36,000 per year to be budgeted for overtime payments to the agents.

Under the current collective bargaining agreement, Tax Enforcement Special Agents are not entitled to overtime payments. Under the terms of this stipulated agreement, agents are entitled to receive overtime payments for participating in weekend inspections to enforce PA 04-218. The act adopted various requirements and penalties to enforce the tobacco settlement agreement between the state and tobacco manufacturers. The act requires all manufacturers whose cigarettes, or cigarette brands, are directly or indirectly sold in Connecticut to certify to DRS that they are either participating in the master settlement agreement or complying with escrow requirements for nonparticipating manufacturers. The overtime payments are for agents conducting tobacco brand tax enforcement related weekend inspections. These inspections serve to ensure all cigarette brands sold in Connecticut have been properly certified.

#### **The Out Years**

[1067]

This stipulated agreement is effective from July 1, 2005 - June 30, 2010, or upon exhaustion of the tobacco brand enforcement funds per PA 04-218.

---

**OFA Bill Analysis**

**SR 10**

**RESOLUTION PROPOSING APPROVAL OF A STIPULATED AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE SERVICES AND THE PROTECTIVE SERVICES EMPLOYEES COALITION.**

**SUMMARY:**

A separate analysis is not prepared since the fiscal note contains much of the same information that would go into a separate analysis.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Upon passage

**COMMITTEE ACTION**

Appropriations Committee

Senate Favorable Report  
Yea 10 Nay 0